

State of Hawaii  
Wireless Enhanced 911 Board

SUBJECT: Minutes of February 21, 2006, Board Meeting

1. Members in Attendance: Roy Irei, John Cole, Paul Ferreira, Pete Jaeger, Richie Nakashima, and Dexter Takashima. Proxy for Jeff Yamane was Roy Irei; proxy for Joel Matsunaga was John Cole; and proxy for Milton Matsuoka was Richie Nakashima (attachments 1 thru 3).
2. Staff in Attendance: Kerry Yoneshige, Patricia Ohara, and Philip Kahue.
3. The Chair called the meeting to order at 10:05 a.m.
4. Discussion of a proposed change to legislation in H.B. 2276 and S.B. 2239, relating to the Board's authority to allocate the available amounts in the Wireless Enhanced 911 Fund between the wireless carriers and the public safety answering points.
  - a. The Chair introduced the proposed change in the legislation under Section 138-5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, section 1(c) to now read: After the expenses of the board are paid, the board shall determine the allocation of the remaining balance of the fund between the public safety answering points and wireless providers, provided that at least one-third shall be available for wireless provider cost recovery (attachment 4).
  - b. The Chair stated that he received favorable confirmation (emails) from Verizon and Cingular for the updated language (included as part of attachment 4). He also stated that T-Mobile is taking no position because they are not seeking cost recovery.
  - c. A motion was made to accept the proposed changes by Pete Jaeger, seconded by Paul Ferreira, and carried unanimously.
  - d. Policy Committee Chair will draft the language for the written testimony for Gordon Bruce to sign and introduce at the next Senate and House reading scheduled for Thursday, February 23, 2006.
5. Discussion of guidance to Intrado for Maui and Oahu PSAP deployment and their contract.
  - a. Technical Committee Chair provided a copy of an email (attachment 5) from Ben Goodloe of Intrado, proposing to: (1) assist Maui County with deployment of another wireless carrier, since E911 service was largely completed with Nextel Partners prior to Intrado's engagement; (2) assist Maui County for 2-3 days in completing its data requirements, prepare for wireless tests, and establish a master deployment schedule for their remaining wireless carriers; and (3) assist the Oahu PSAPs to meet Phase 2 deployment requirements for one wireless carrier.

- b. These services are within the scope of Intrado's current contract, which totaled \$155,000 from the PSAP Readiness Grant Fund. Part one consisted of the PSAP Readiness Assessment Report for \$108,000, which they completed. They have \$47,000 left on their contract, in one-month increments of \$11,750 for four months for part two. Should Intrado require more time to fulfill these requirements, funds from the Wireless Enhanced 911 Fund would be required.
  - c. Maui PSAP Representative recommended they be allowed to determine which Wireless Provider would be tested next. This will be based on the first wireless provider that responds that they are ready.
  - d. Question was raised whether Intrado could pick up the Project Management duties for the PSAPs on Hawaii and Kauai. However, after discussion, it was determined that only the Oahu and Maui PSAP Deployments were a part of Intrado's contract. Inclusion of the other islands would constitute work outside of the scope of their current contract.
  - e. Pat Ohara stated that Akimeka's current contract would allow for Project Management of Hawaii and Kauai PSAP Deployment under its current scope. Kerry Yoneshige suggested for due diligence, that Akimeka produce a Sources-Sought Letter to determine if there are other contractors interested in performing these services as a subcontractor to Akimeka. The Technical Committee will put together the technical requirements needed to perform the services of the Program Manager for the Hawaii and Kauai PSAP deployments.
6. Announcements. Onvia's Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) requests of both the Akimeka contract and Intrado contract. Administrative Services Committee Chair stated that he will respond for the Akimeka contract, providing Onvia with an electronic version of the information requested and also draft a letter to Onvia for the Board Chair's signature, responding to their request. Akimeka will respond for the Intrado contract FOIA request. Intrado stated that their entire proposal is confidential. We will respond without their technical proposal attached and see if Onvia will accept it under its FOIA request.
7. The meeting was adjourned at 10:33 a.m.



**STATE OF HAWAII**  
**WIRELESS ENHANCED 911 BOARD**

February 21, 2006  
10:00 a.m.

Department of Accounting and General Services  
Comptroller's Conference Room 410  
Kalanimoku Building  
1151 Punchbowl Street  
Honolulu, Hawaii 96813

**AGENDA**

- I. Call to order
- II. Discussion of eliminating from the proposed legislation in H.B. 2276 and S.B. 2239, the Board's authority to allocate the available amounts in the Wireless Enhanced 911 Fund between wireless carriers and the public safety answering points that will be amiable to both the wireless carriers and the public safety answering points.
- III. Discussion of guidance to Intrado for Maui and Oahu PSAP deployment and their contract.
- IV. Announcements
- V. Next meeting date and location
- VI. Adjournment

**Philip Kahue**

**From:** Yamane, Jeff [jeff.yamane@akimeka.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 14, 2006 1:23 PM  
**To:** Dexter Takashima; Philip Kahue; gbruce@akimeka.com; jcole@akimeka.com; roy.irei@akimeka.com; Paul K. Ferreira; richien@akimeka.com; russ.k.saito@akimeka.com; pete.jaeger@akimeka.com; Joel Matsunaga; mmmatsuoka@akimeka.com  
**Cc:** kerry.k.yoneshige@akimeka.com; patricia.tohara@akimeka.com; Bill.Doolittle@akimeka.com  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Agenda for February 21

I will not be able to attend the meeting on February 21st. I will send my proxy to Roy.

Thanks,  
 Jeff

## -----Original Message-----

**From:** Dexter Takashima [mailto:dtakashima@akimeka.com]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, February 14, 2006 7:21 AM  
**To:** Philip Kahue; gbruce@akimeka.com; jcole@akimeka.com; Paul K. Ferreira; roy.irei@akimeka.com; pete.jaeger@akimeka.com; Joel Matsunaga; mmmatsuoka@akimeka.com; richien@akimeka.com; Yamane, Jeff; russ.k.saito@akimeka.com  
**Cc:** kerry.k.yoneshige@akimeka.com; patricia.tohara@akimeka.com; Bill.Doolittle@akimeka.com  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Agenda for February 21

I will attend the February 21 meeting.

**From:** Philip Kahue [mailto:pkahue@akimekatech.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2006 3:31 PM  
**To:** Dexter Takashima; gbruce@akimeka.com; jcole@akimeka.com; Paul K. Ferreira; roy.irei@akimeka.com; pete.jaeger@akimeka.com; Joel Matsunaga; mmmatsuoka@akimeka.com; richien@akimeka.com; jeff.yamane@akimeka.com; russ.k.saito@akimeka.com  
**Cc:** kerry.k.yoneshige@akimeka.com; patricia.tohara@akimeka.com; Bill.Doolittle@akimeka.com  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Agenda for February 21

Yes, if we get the agenda in by tomorrow. The requirement is 6 calendar days in advance of the meeting.

**Philip Kahue, CHE**  
 Akimeka Technologies, LLC  
 1600 Kapiolani Blvd, Suite 530  
 Honolulu, HI 96814  
 808.285.3028  
 pkahue@akimekatech.com

**From:** Dexter Takashima [mailto:dtakashima@akimeka.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2006 3:27 PM  
**To:** Philip Kahue; gbruce@akimeka.com; jcole@akimeka.com; Paul K. Ferreira; roy.irei@akimeka.com; pete.jaeger@akimeka.com; Joel Matsunaga; mmmatsuoka@akimeka.com; richien@akimeka.com; Yamane, Jeff; russ.k.saito@akimeka.com

2/22/2006

Attach 1

## Philip Kahue

**From:** Joel Matsunaga [Joel.Matsunaga@akimekatech.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2006 4:21 PM  
**To:** Philip Kahue; gbruce [redacted]; jcole [redacted]; Paul K. Ferreira; roy.irei [redacted]; pete.jaeger [redacted]; mmmatsuoka [redacted]; richien [redacted]; dtakashima [redacted]; jeff.yamane [redacted]; russ.k.saito [redacted]  
**Cc:** kerry.k.yoneshige [redacted]; patricia.tohara [redacted]; Bill.Doolittle [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: Draft Agenda for February 21

I will not be able to attend as I will be on the mainland. By way of this email, I would like to assign my proxy to John Cole.

---

**From:** Philip Kahue [mailto:pkahue@akimekatech.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2006 3:23 PM  
**To:** gbruce [redacted]; jcole [redacted]; Paul K. Ferreira; roy.irei [redacted]; pete.jaeger [redacted]; Joel Matsunaga; mmmatsuoka [redacted]; richien [redacted]; dtakashima [redacted]; jeff.yamane [redacted]; russ.k.saito [redacted]  
**Cc:** kerry.k.yoneshige [redacted]; patricia.tohara [redacted]; Bill.Doolittle [redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: Draft Agenda for February 21  
**Importance:** High

A meeting of the board has been scheduled for next Tuesday, 21 February at 10:00 am. Please respond as soon as possible if you will, or will not make the meeting. For the PSAP and Wireless Carrier representatives, if you cannot make the meeting, please forward your proxy to the appropriate representative and let me know, so we can determine if we will have a quorum. Thank you.

## Philip Kahue, CHE

Akimeka Technologies, LLC  
1600 Kapiolani Blvd, Suite 530  
Honolulu, HI 96814  
808.265.3028  
pkahue@akimekatech.com

---

**From:** Irei, Roy [mailto:Roy.Irei@akimekatech.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2006 3:05 PM  
**To:** kerry.k.yoneshige [redacted]; Philip Kahue  
**Cc:** Patricia.T.Ohara [redacted]  
**Subject:** FW: Draft Agenda for February 21  
**Importance:** High

Kerry, I added another sentence to the subject discussion.

Phil, can you please see that we have quorum for this special meeting. Please ensure that absentees assign their proxy to an individual who will be able to attend.

2/22/2006

Attach 2

**Philip Kahue**

**From:** milton matsuoka [mimmatsuoka]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 15, 2006 11:29 AM  
**To:** Roy Irei  
**Cc:** Philip Kahue  
**Subject:** Wireless E-911 meeting on 02/21/06

Hi Roy,

I won't be able to make the meeting next week. I'm giving my Proxy to Richie NAKASHIMA. He's informed me that he will be attending.

Milton

---

Brings words and photos together (easily) with  
PhotoMail - it's free and works with Yahoo! Mail.

2/22/2006

Attach 3

**Report Title:**

Wireless Enhanced 911 Fund; Reimbursements

**Description:**

Gives the Board discretion as to the allocation of reimbursements from the wireless enhanced 911 fund between the Public Safety Answering Points and wireless carriers.

THE SENATE

TWENTY-THIRD LEGISLATURE, 2006

STATE OF HAWAII

**S.B. NO.** 2239

# A BILL FOR AN ACT

RELATING TO THE WIRELESS ENHANCED 911 BOARD.

**BE IT ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF HAWAII:**

SECTION 1. Section 138-5, Hawaii Revised Statutes, is amended to read as follows:

"[§138-5] **Recovery from the fund.** (a) After January 1, 2005, every public safety answering point shall be eligible to seek [~~reimbursement~~] recovery from the fund [~~solely~~] to pay for the reasonable costs to lease, purchase, or maintain all necessary equipment, including computer hardware, software, and database provisioning, required by the public safety answering point to provide technical functionality for the wireless enhanced 911 service pursuant to the Federal Communications Commission order. [~~All other expenses~~] Expenses necessary to operate the public safety answering point, including but not limited to those expenses related to overhead, staffing, and other

day-to-day operational expenses, shall continue to be paid through the general funding of the respective counties. The board may determine by policy that certain expenses necessary to the provision of wireless enhanced 911 services by the public safety answering points may be recovered from the fund.

(b) After January 1, 2005, each wireless provider may request ~~[reimbursement]~~ recovery from the fund ~~[of]~~ wireless enhanced 911 commercial mobile radio service costs incurred; provided that the costs:

(1) Are recoverable under section 138-4(d); and

(2) Have not already been reimbursed to the wireless provider from the fund.

In no event shall a wireless provider ~~[be reimbursed for]~~ recover any amount above its actual wireless enhanced 911 commercial mobile radio service costs that are allowed to be recovered under section 138-4(d).

(c) After the expenses of the board are paid, the ~~[public safety answering points shall be allocated two thirds of the remaining balance of the fund. The remaining one-third shall be available for wireless provider cost recovery.]~~ board shall determine the allocation of the remaining balance of the fund between the public safety answering points and wireless providers, provided that at least one-third go to the wireless carriers.) The board shall determine the ~~[reimbursement]~~ amounts recovered for the public safety answering points, based on the limitations set forth in section 138-5(a). The ~~[reimbursement level]~~ amount recovered for each wireless provider shall be limited:

*recommended by John Cole*

(1) To the total contribution made by the wireless provider to the wireless provider cost recovery portion of the fund; and

(2) As provided in section 138-5(b)."



SECTION 2. Statutory material to be repealed is bracketed and stricken. New statutory material is underscored.

SECTION 3. This Act shall take effect on July 1, 2006.

INTRODUCED BY: \_\_\_\_\_

BY REQUEST

**Irei, Roy**

---

**From:** Joyce.Masamitsu@VerizonWireless.com  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2006 9:30 AM  
**To:** Sarazen, Russell; Youmans, Daniel; Paul.S.Sieracki@sprint.com  
**Cc:** Irei, Roy; Mell, Lynn; RTsujimura@imanakakudo.com; Hastings, Jamie  
**Subject:** RE: Hawaii E911 Legislation

Russell, I did run this past Michael as well and Verizon Wireless is fine with this suggested amendment. Thank you for your flexibility and efforts to seek resolution to the concerns we rose earlier. Very much appreciated. Take Care.

2/21/2006

**Irei, Roy**

---

**From:** Youmans, Daniel [daniel.youmans@cingular.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2006 3:05 PM  
**To:** Joyce.Masamitsu@VerizonWireless.com; Sarazen, Russell; Paul.S.Sieracki@sprint.com  
**Cc:** Irei, Roy; Mell, Lynn; RTsujimura@imanakakudo.com; Hastings, Jamie  
**Subject:** RE: Hawaii E911 Legislation

Russell -- We will support this amendment also. I'll let our 911 board representative know. -- Dan

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Joyce.Masamitsu@VerizonWireless.com [mailto:Joyce.Masamitsu@VerizonWireless.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 20, 2006 11:30 AM  
**To:** Sarazen, Russell; Youmans, Daniel; Paul.S.Sieracki@sprint.com  
**Cc:** Irei, Roy; Mell, Lynn; RTsujimura@imanakakudo.com; Hastings, Jamie  
**Subject:** RE: Hawaii E911 Legislation

Russell, I did run this past Michael as well and Verizon Wireless is fine with this suggested amendment. Thank you for your flexibility and efforts to seek resolution to the concerns we rose earlier. Very much appreciated. Take Care.

2/21/2006

**Jaeger, Pete**

---

**From:** Goodloe, Ben [bgoodloe@Intrado.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, February 13, 2006 1:42 PM  
**To:** Jaeger, Pete  
**Cc:** Metivier, Tina  
**Subject:** Proposal for first month's work under Stage 2 of the Contract

Aloha Pete,

The following outlines the work effort that Intrado is proposing to provide to the Hawaii Wireless Board as the first month's installment of Stage 2 under the State of Hawaii Contract for Professional Services.

Referencing the Scope of Services, section 1.1 (c):

Implement E911 services for Maui County, Island of Maui with at least one carrier.  
This carrier will be designated by the State of Hawaii. ...

Because the wireless deployment for E911 service was largely completed with Nextel Partners prior to Intrado's engagement for these services, Intrado proposes to assist Maui County with deployment of another wireless carrier to be designated by the Board, as specified in paragraph (c).

In order to assist Maui County, Intrado's project manager will spend 2-3 days on-site with the Maui County PSAP Manager to complete data requirements (routing worksheets one or more WSPs) for the designated carrier(s), prepare the PSAP for wireless tests, and establish a master deployment schedule for the PSAP's remaining carriers.

Additionally, to maximize efficiency while in the area, the Intrado Project Manager will also meet with the City and County of Honolulu PSAP Manager to review the findings from the PSAP Readiness Assessment Report, specifically to develop requirements and timelines for the Honolulu Police Department PSAP to complete required upgrades and to formalize a timeline for submission of a valid request for service to Wireless Service Providers.

Billing from Intrado for the services described above is in accordance with the Compensation and Payment Schedule, paragraph 2.2 (c), in the amount of \$11,750, and will be submitted to the State of Hawaii upon completion of these services.

For the following month, we would provide continued assistance and monitoring with Maui to ensure progress of wireless deployment with at least one carrier. For Honolulu, the next steps will be to assist with preparation of their request for service to wireless carriers and developing a master deployment schedule.

If you are in agreement, I will immediately begin coordination with Maui and Honolulu to schedule this trip as soon as possible.

Best regards,

2/21/2006

Attach 5

**Ben D. Goodloe, ENP**

**Manager, Program Account Management/Direct Program Manager  
Direct Business Unit**

**Intrado Inc.**

*direct: 512.778.5813*

*mobile: 512.779.8372*

*fax: 720-494-6600*

*email: bgoodloe@intrado.com*

**Intrado.™**

[www.intrado.com](http://www.intrado.com)

**ATTENTION:**

The information contained in this electronic message and any attachments to this message are intended for the exclusive use of the addressee(s) and may contain confidential or privileged information. If you are not the intended recipient, please notify Intrado Inc. immediately at (720) 494-5800 and destroy all copies of this message and any attachments.

2/21/2006